

Rewiver C's recommendation is that;

Results should be shared in more detailed. Biopsy numbers and pathology results should be mentioned in detail. The number of patients who are positive for HPV16-18 should be specified.

We added a table which comprises the relationship with HPV 16, 18 16/18 types and cytology, pathology results of all colposcopy performance. We also mentioned biopsy numbers and pathology results.

Reviewer D:

1. Some grammatical mistakes are in the present manuscript. Authors should mainly revision the paper.
The mistake sentences were corrected.

2. The exclusion criteria were mentioned however, no inclusion criteria were mentioned in the methods section. The inclusion criteria should be remark.
“The inclusion criteria were being 30-65 years old and sexually active” was added.

3. “prealign” was written wrong in the last sentence of discussion section.
It was changed as “prealignant”.

4. Colposcopy assessments were done by three clinicians, and microscopy evaluations were done by two pathologists. This sentence should be added at the limitations section.

It added at the limitations section.

Reviewer E:

Some grammatical mistakes are in the present manuscript. Authors should mainly revision the paper.

They were revised.

The exclusion criteria were mentioned however, no inclusion criteria were mentioned in methods section. The inclusion criteria should be remark.

The inclusion criterias were added.

“prealign” was written wrong in the last sentence of discussion section.

It was written correctly.

Colposcopy assessments were done by three clinicians, and microscopy evaluations were done by two pathologists. This sentence should be added at the limitations section.

It moved to the limitations section.

The introduction section is too long. The forth paragraph should be shortened.

The forth paragraph was shortened.

The reference must be added at the end of the sentences about “2012 ACCSP....”

It was added as 8. reference.

A table depicting demographics should be added.

Unfortunately, we have had only participants' ages. So we could not make a table about demographic data.